### Safeguarding Dataset Exception Report – Q4

### Performance & Outcome Board – 18<sup>th</sup> May 2021

													N	ONTHS				QUA	RTERS	R	EXP. ANGES		COMF	PARATO	ORS 20	19-20
		Population>	105690	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	2020- 21	2020- 21	10569 0	10569 0	10569 0	10569 0	10569 0
			2019- 20	2020- 21	19-20	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	Lower	Higher	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20										
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	exp. range	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Reg	SN	O/S LAs
EARLY HELP	CAF Episodes recommended	Number				140	82	125	109	106	105	136	169	226			347	320	531							
EARLY HELP	CAFs not yet registered	Number				92	58	71	53	35	70	48	97	tbc			221	158	tbc							
EARLY HELP	CAFs registered	snapshot				-	-	-	-	46	22	54	54	tbc			-	22	tbc							
CONTACTS	No. of contacts	number	963	1111	1462	1626	1252	1514	1406	1421	1331	1300	1317	1692	4016	3536	4392	4158	4309	3600	4500					
CONTACTS	Rate of contacts per 10,000 under 18	rate	1093	1261	1660	1840	1417	1713	1591	1608	1506	1471	1490	1914	1520	1338	1656	1568	1625	1362	1703					
CONVERSION	Conversion: Completed Contacts to Referrals Started (qtrly)	% snapshot	22%	17%	19%	18%	15%	18%	19%	19%	16%	22%	18%	20%	17%	19%	17%	18%	20%							
REFERRALS	No. of social care referrals in period	number	214	188	282	290	190	274	261	271	218	281	237	342	683	684	754	750	860	807	1175					
REFERRALS	Rate of referrals per 10,000 under 18	rate	243	213	320	328	215	310	295	307	247	318	268	387	258	259	284	283	324	305	445	364	535	434	453	482
REFERRALS	No. referrals within 12 months of a previous referral (Rolling)	snapshot	726	684	655	564	540	507	499	475	476	448	435	444	749	655	507	476	444							
REFERRALS	1. % referrals w/in 12 months of prev. referral at end of period	% snapshot	21.2%	20.9%	20.1%	19.2%	18.9%	18.4%	18.5%	17.9%	17.9%	16.7%	16.2%	15.8%	21.2%	20.1%	18.4%	17.9%	15.8%	17.0%	19.0%	21.0 %	23.0 %	21.0 %	22.9 %	19.2 %
SUPPORT OPEN	2. No. of support cases open at end of period	snapshot	348	372	362	452	489	512	496	526	539	532	573	583	467	362	512	539	583	375	575					
SUPPORT OPEN	No. of Support assessments completed	number	85	18	72	127	131	124	138	131	103	130	137	186	363	175	382	372	453	384	564					
SUPPORT OPEN	3. % Support assessments completed within 25 days	%	78%	83%	96%	94%	85%	90%	88%	79%	84%	72%	74%	87%	81%	86%	90%	84%	79%	85%	100%					
SUPPORT VISITS	% all Support visits on time	%	84%	92%	96%	92%	92%	91%	95%	92%	90%	93%	96%	96%	89%	91%	92%	93%	95%	80%	100%					

#### **Exceptions:**

Reds of concern: None Reds on watching brief: None Ambers of concern: None Ambers on watching brief:

- Indicator 1 (% of repeat referrals) Repeat referrals measured over a 12 month rolling period declined through Q4 and were below our benchmarking comparators. Our contact to referrals conversion rate has, however, held steady suggesting stable thresholds are being applied. To watch Q1 figures to see if decline continues. Note that regular monitoring of repeat referrals takes place through the monthly ChAT Report (Children's services Analysis Tool) an in particular repeat referrals for children under 1 are identified through this process and reviewed.
- Indicators 2 & 3 (Support Caseload and Support Assessment timeliness) Support cases allocated to Family Keyworkers rose consistently throughout Q4 and are now slightly higher than are expected range. During this increase in cases, timeliness of completing Support Assessments has slipped although it's noted performance did rally significantly during March. Caseload per worker will be closely monitored to ensure they remain manageable. Scrutiny of work within the service shows that there are a high percentage of Support Cases in the 0-3 month range accounting for 69% overall caseload and 21% open at the 3-6 month period with the remaining 10% open 9 months +. We would expect to see this as new demand comes in at a lower level of need to social care and this may be a sign of latent covid demand beginning to appear. Teams are reporting an increase in case complexity with themes including parents struggling with children and young people with ADHD/ASD type behaviours. Early signs in April were that caseloads were continuing to rise but slowed in the latter half of the month and into May. Ongoing monitoring will take place alongside contingency planning should figures begin to rise again. Similarly, conversion rates to CIN will be closely reviewed to spot any increased escalation to social care.

### Other items to note:

Our rate of contacts into our Integrated Front Door has now returned to within our normal expected range. Within this there has been a recent spike in contacts during March-21 which may be a one-off occurrence or the start of incoming pressure into children's services. This will continue to be closely monitored through our weekly 'Front Door Tracker' report introduced as part of covid impact monitoring.

CIN	4. No. of children in need (SASS only)	number	1013	1015	1020	1022	985	1006	1057	1078	1057	1095	1054	1015	1070	1020	1006	1057	1015	1100	1350	
CIN	Rate of children in need (SASS only)	rate	95.8	96.0	96.5	96.4	92.9	94.8	99.7	101.6	99.7	103.2	99.4	95.7	101	97	95	100	96	104	128	
CIN	5. No. of children in need (excl CLA & CP)	snapshot	1665	1635	1673	1672	1642	1674	1729	1760	1736	1785	1753	1730	1703	1673	1674	1736	1730	1820	2009	
CIN	Rate of children in need per 10,000 under 18 (excl CLA & CP)	rate	157.5	154.7	158.3	157.6	154.8	157.8	163.0	165.9	163.7	168.3	165.3	163.1	161.1	158.3	157.8	163.7	163.1	172	190	
CIN VISITS	No. of CIN visits on time (SASS Teams only)	number	764	990	1152	1080	916	1089	1137	1141	1260	1041	1249	1467	2465	2906	3085	3538	3757			
CIN VISITS	% all CIN visits on time (SASS Teams only)	%	65%	77%	83%	78%	77%	79%	83%	82%	85%	81%	88%	86%	66%	75%	78%	84%	85%	90%	100%	
SINGLE ASSESSMENTS	No. of single assessments completed (SASS only)	number	309	213	240	325	223	266	281	272	274	245	311	357	906	762	814	827	913			

													IV	ONTHS				QUA	ARTERS	R	EXP. ANGES		COM	PARAT	ORS 20	19-20
		Population>	105690	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	2020- 21	2020- 21	10569 0	10569 0	10569 0	10569 0	10569 0
			2019- 20	2020- 21	19-20	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	Lower	Higher	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20										
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	exp. range	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Reg	SN	O/S LAs
SINGLE ASSESSMENTS	6. No. of single assessments completed (all)	number	367	256	284	391	264	311	327	322	311	279	370	407	1025	907	966	960	1056	860	1360					
SINGLE ASSESSMENTS	Rate of single assessments completed per 10,000 (all)	rate	417	291	322	442	299	352	370	364	352	316	419	460	388	343	364	362	398	325	515	457	554	460	501	457
SINGLE A/MENT TIMELINESS	7a. % completed within 0-10 days	%	15%	14%	15%	14%	12%	13%	13%	14%	10%	12%	9%	13%	11%	15%	13%	13%	11%	18%	22%	12%	14%	15%	27%	12%
SINGLE A/MENT TIMELINESS	7b. % completed within 45 days or less	%	86%	85%	93%	91%	92%	86%	89%	90%	81%	85%	81%	92%	74%	88%	90%	86%	86%	90%	95%	81%	84%	83%	83%	87%
SINGLE A/MENT TIMELINESS	% 46+ days	%	14%	15%	7%	9%	8%	14%	11%	10%	19%	15%	19%	8%	26%	12%	10%	14%	14%	5%	10%					
SINGLE A/MENT OUTCOME	NEW No. of Single Assessments with the Outcome NFA	number	117	91	102	129	117	126	107	132	140	113	131	164	-	310	372	379	408							
SINGLE A/MENT OUTCOME	NEW % of Single Assessments with the Outcome NFA	%	32%	36%	36%	33%	44%	41%	33%	41%	45%	41%	35%	40%	-	34%	39%	39%	39%							
SINGLE A/MENT REPEAT	NEW No. of Single Assessments – Refreshed	number	80	65	67	106	68	61	83	92	70	73	90	89	-	212	235	245	252							
SINGLE A/MENT REPEAT	NEW %. of Single Assessments – Refreshed	%	22%	25%	24%	27%	26%	20%	25%	29%	23%	26%	24%	22%	-	23%	24%	26%	24%							
SINGLE A/MENT REPEAT	NEW No. of Single Assessments - Repeat within less than 6 months	number	42	35	33	35	25	20	36	24	20	13	38	33	-	110	80	80	84							
SINGLE A/MENT REPEAT	NEW %. of Single Assessments - Repeat within less than 6 months	%	11%	14%	12%	9%	9%	6%	11%	7%	6%	5%	10%	8%	-	12%	8%	8%	8%							
SINGLE A/MENT REPEAT	NEW No. of Single Assessments with >5 factors identified (proxy for acuity)	number	44	34	36	42	26	34	52	25	38	38	68	74	-	114	102	115	180							
SINGLE A/MENT REPEAT	NEW %. of Single Assessments with >5 factors identified (proxy for acuity)	%	12%	13%	13%	11%	10%	11%	16%	8%	12%	14%	18%	18%	-	13%	11%	12%	17%							

Reds of concern: None Reds on watching brief:

• Indicators 4 & 5 (number of Children in Need) – Our numbers of Children In Need remain considerably lower than our normal expected range. The spike in contacts and referrals during the end of the quarter (March) may generate a slight rise in CIN cases during Q1.

### Ambers of concern:

• Indicator 7a (single assessments completed within 0-10 days) – despite the low numbers of referrals and low CIN cases the number of single assessments completed with 0-10 days remains, at 11%, below where we expect to be (between 18-22%). The majority of SA's are being completed 42% between 26- 45 days and 32% between 11-25 days. Although overall numbers are lower for this Quarter teams are reporting an increasing complexity of cases building, including higher-end crisis CIN work, which is thought to be driving longer assessment times as social workers are taking longer to assess needs and plan actions. Regional data is due to eb released for Q4 and will provide helpful comparator data. As part of demand forecasting for covid recovery work is being done to attempt to evidence how case complexity is appearing within services – including a review of case durations and numbers of presenting needs identified during assessment (in Feb and Mar-21 there were 68 and 74 single assessments identifying 5 factors of need or more - with previous months averaging at 37 single assessments with 5 presenting needs or more).

## Ambers on watching brief:

• Indicator 7b (single assessments completed within 45 days) – our position has stayed stable from Q3 to Q4 however performance in Mar was peaked at a high of 92%. Performance from 3 teams has been strong but performance for North and West 2 has slightly dipped and this is being picked up and tracked via managers and POG meetings to ensure improvement continues.

#### Other items to note:

- Performance had been steadily improving throughout the year and had moved from Red RAG status to Green as of Feb-21. Since that time the target has increased from 80%+ of visits on time to 90% showing ambition for the service. Two teams are already achieving 90% visit timeliness as at end of Mar-21, the three remaining teams performed well in February but need to be consistent in their performance improvement.
- Indicator 6 (single assessments completed) levels have remained within expected range throughout the last year and Q4 20/21 is comparable to rates seen in Q4 2019/20.
- New measures have been added covering repeated Single Assessments and the number of assessments that identified more than 5 presenting factors during the assessment process (e.g. domestic abuse, parental mental health, etc). The latter may prove to be a proxy measure for acuity/case complexity although, of course, a child may experience only one issue but the severity of it is highly significant. Expected ranges are to be set for these new measures so RAG rating can be applied and performance monitored.

STRATEGY DISCUSSIONS	8. No. of strategy discussions started	rate	60	68	82	101	65	107	89	92	86	105	96	156	0	210	273	267	357	344	450				
STRATEGY DISCUSSIONS	Rate per 10,000 strategy discussions	rate													-	79	103	101	135	130	170				
SECTION 47s	No. S47 enquiries started	number	45	63	75	91	61	96	84	81	73	86	89	116	234	183	248	238	291	265	370				
SECTION 47s	9 Rate per 10,000 S47 enquiries started	rate	51	72	85	103	69	109	95	92	83	97	101	131	89	69	94	90	110	100	140	107	167	143	155 127
SECTION 47s	No. S47 completed	number	49	54	73	94	60	87	91	89	79	67	103	113	246	176	241	259	283	280	395				
SECTION 47s	% S47 completed in 5 working days	%	80%	80%	89%	83%	77%	98%	80%	83%	94%	73%	90%	95%	-	84%	87%	85%	88%						

													N	MONTHS				QUA	RTERS	R	EXP. ANGES		COMP	ARATC	ORS 20	19-20
		Population >	105690	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	2020- 21	2020- 21	10569 0	10569 0	10569	10569	10569
			2019- 20	2020- 21	19-20	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	Lower	Higher	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20		DfE 19-20										
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	exp.	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Reg	SN	O/S LAs
S47 REC ICPC	% Section 47s which recommended initial conferences	%	57%	50%	36%	59%	38%	30%	29%	33%	53%	39%	56%	33%	45%	46%	43%	37%	43%	42%	52%	46%	39%	40%	41%	41%
ICPC	No. children subject to initial child protection conference	number	28	19	33	44	31	20	29	28	26	32	57	48	121	80	95	83	137							
ICPC	10. Rate per 10,000 initial child protection conferences	rate	32	22	37	50	35	23	33	32	29	36	64	54	46	30	36	31	52	44	64	49	64	57	63	49
ICPC TIMELINESS	No. initial conferences within 15 days of strategy discussion	number	23	19	33	44	31	20	29	28	26	32	56	44	121	75	95	83	132							
ICPC TIMELINESS	% within 15 days of strategy discussion	% snapshot	82%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	92%	100%	94%	100%	100%	96%	95%	100%	93%	78%	77%	74%	87%
ICPC TO CPP	11. % conferenced and went CP Plan	%	93%	89%	79%	86%	94%	100%	86%	96%	96%	84%	98%	92%	98%	86%	92%	93%	93%	87%	90%	92%	86%	85%	85%	88%
RCPC	% RCPCs within timescales	%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	97%	99%	100%	100%	100%	90%	100%	97%	92%	88%	82%	97%

Reds of concern: None Reds on watching brief: None Ambers of concern: None Ambers on watching brief:

• Indicator 11 (% conferenced and became subject to CP Plan) – this indicator remains slightly higher than our benchmarking comparators although it is noted that performance has been stable since Q2 suggesting stability and consistency of practice. All CP cases are subject to Quality Assurances when conferenced by Performance and Outcomes Team and reported into POG monthly.

### Other items to note:

• Indicators 8, 9 & 10 (Rate of Strategy Discussions, Section 47 Enquiries and Child Protection Conferences) have all returned to within normal expected ranges during Q4 following declining activity during the pandemic. Our volumes do, however, remain at the lower expected range suggesting there is more growth to come. A surge in March 21 is apparent and this links with the spike in contacts and referrals during the same period.

CPP START	No. becoming subject to CP plan during the year	number	27	20	31	38	29	23	25	29	25	27	56	45	119	78	90	79	128	455	530					
CPP START	12. Rate per 10,000 becoming subject to a CP plan during the year	rate	31	23	35	43	33	26	28	33	28	31	63	51	45	30	34	30	48	43	50	35	43	38	40	33
CP No./RATE	No. with CP plan at end of period	snapshot	367	343	342	325	336	315	293	289	270	276	303	318	381	342	315	270	318	381	425					
CP No./RATE	13. CP plan rate per 10,000	rate	35	32	32	31	32	30	28	27	25	26	29	30	36	32	30	28	27	36	40	45	55	48	53	42
REPEAT CPP IN 2YRs (of new)	No. of children subject of CP Plan within 2 years of last plan	number	0	0	8	4	5	4	3	6	4	4	1	3	14	8	13	13	8							
REPEAT CPP IN 2YRs (of new)	14. % children subject of CP Plan within 2 years of last plan (of new)	%	0%	0%	26%	11%	17%	17%	12%	21%	16%	15%	2%	7%	11.8%	10.3%	14.4%	16.5%	6.3%	5%	9%					
CPP END	No. ceasing to be subject to CP plan during the year	number	26	44	35	53	19	43	44	38	39	24	19	44	112	105	115	121	87							
CPP END	15. Ceasing to be subject to CP plan during the year rate per 10,000	rate	30	50	40	60	21	49	50	43	44	27	21	50	42	40	43	46	33	40	58	48	56	52	53	42
CP VISITS	16. % CP visits in time (SASS teams only)	%	93%	97%	91%	91%	89%	95%	94%	96%	96%	97%	95%	94%	87%	94%	92%	95%	95%	90%	95%					
EPO	No. of Emergency Protection Orders (children) (L2) cumul	number	1	1	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	0	2	4	4	4	0	5					
PPO	No. of Police Protections order (children) (L1) cumul	number	0	1	3	3	4	4	7	8	8	9	9	9	3	3	4	8	9	0	10					
МНА	No. detentions of CYP where S136 of Mental Health Act is used	number	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	0	-	-	3	0	1	1	0	3	0	6					

## **Exceptions:**

Reds of concern: None Reds on watching brief:

• Indicator 12, 13 & 15 (Rate of children subject of a CP Plan and becoming and ceasing being subject of a CP Plan) – our numbers of CP cases continue to be much lower than normal expected ranges although it is noted that there has been a steady increase each month during Q4. This was driven in part by the increase in children starting a new CP Plan which, in Q4 this year was higher than Q4 in the previous year (128 in Q4 this year compared to 119 in Q4 last year). This was, however, offset slightly by the small number of children coming off child protection plans during the same quarter (87 children ceased being subject of a CP Plan in Q4 this year compared to 112 in the same period of the previous year).

Ambers of concern: None Ambers on watching brief: None Other items to note:

• Indicator 16 (CP Visit timeliness) – performance continues to be consistently strong. We continue to report our CP visit performance to the DfE and are pleased to confirm this has remained a strength for use throughout the pandemic – providing assurance that we are in regular contact with our most vulnerable children.

											N	MONTHS				QUA	ARTERS	R	EXP. Anges		COMP	ARATO	ORS 201	9-20
Population>	105690	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	2020- 21	2020- 21	10569 0	10569 0	10569 0	10569	0569
	2019- 20	2020- 21	19-20	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	Lower	Higher	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20		DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20										
Area Indicator type	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	exp.	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Reg		O/S LAs

• Indicator 14 (% repeat CP Plans) – this was discussed at length during the presentation of the last Exception Report where there was concern at our increased rate of CP Plans at 16.5%. it was explained that small changes to the number of children in the cohort can produce significant changes to the percentages. We see that again in Q4 where there were 8 children subject of a repeat CP plan but due to growth in the size of the cohort (becoming subject of a new CP Plan) the percentage is only 6.3%. There were also 8 children subject of a repeat CP Plan in Q1 but as the cohort was much smaller (only 78) the percentage is higher – as demonstrated below:

	Q1	Q4
Number of children becoming subject of CP Plan	78	128
Number of children subject of CP Plan within 2 years of their last	8	8
% subject to a repeat CP plan within 2 years of their last	10.3%	6.3%

					I							1									
Missing - All	MISSING - Count of young people missing	number	29	39	31	41	40	38	36	43	35	32	30	30	99	119	114	92			
Missing - All	- Males missing	number	16	16	15	20	15	15	18	21	14	15	15	13	47	50	53	43			
Missing - All	- Females missing	number	13	23	16	21	25	23	18	22	21	17	15	17	52	69	61	49			
Missing - All	Count of young people with more than 1 missing episode	number	8	11	8	13	14	18	10	14	13	9	5	14	27	45	37	28			
Missing - All	Number Missing Episodes	number	51	60	44	73	71	76	62	79	64	51	45	61	155	220	205	157			
Missing - All	Episodes where Return Interview offered	number	45	50	43	67	68	73	60	74	57	43	34	50	138	208	191	127			
Missing - All	% of Missing Episodes where RI offered	%	88%	83%	98%	92%	96%	96%	97%	94%	89%	84%	76%	82%	89%	95%	93%	81%			
Missing - All	- RI accepted	number	30	29	35	40	33	44	43	45	42	29	24	37	94	117	130	90			
Missing - All	Episodes with no RI offered	number	6	10	1	6	3	3	2	5	7	8	9	6	17	12	14	23			
Missing - All	Episodes with form not yet completed	number											2	5				7			
Missing - All	Number of Episodes where Return Interviews offered	number	45	50	43	67	68	73	60	74	57	43	34	50	138	208	191	127			
Missing - All	Number of Episodes where RI accepted	number	30	29	35	40	33	44	43	45	42	29	24	37	94	117	130	90			
Missing - All	% of RI offered that were accepted	%	67%	58%	81%	60%	49%	60%	72%	61%	74%	67%	71%	74%	68%	56%	68%	71%			
Missing - All	- Wilts CLA IN offered	number	3	11	15	19	9	5	16	19	20	10	12	14	29	33	55	36			
Missing - All	- Wilts CLA IN accepted	number	2	5	14	14	4	3	13	10	20	5	10	14	21	21	43	29			
Missing - All	% of RI offered that were accepted	%	67%	45%	93%	74%	44%	60%	81%	53%	100%	50%	83%	100%	72%	64%	78%				
Missing - All	- Wilts CLA OOC offered	number	11	4	5	6	11	17	2	5	9	6	2	16	20	34	16	24			
Missing - All	- Wilts CLA OOC accepted	number	10	3	4	5	6	13	1	5	8	6	2	8	17	24	14	16			
Missing - All	% of RI offered that were accepted	%	91%	75%	80%	83%	55%	76%	50%	100%	89%	100%	100%	50%	85%	71%	88%	67%			
Missing - All	- OLA CLA offered	number	3	3	2	7	16	15	11	22	9	12	1	2	8	38	42	15			
Missing - All	- OLA CLA accepted	number			1	1	4	6	2	15	0	7	0	0	1	11	17	7			
Missing - All	% of RI offered that were accepted	%	0%	0%	50%	14%	25%	40%	18%	68%	0%	58%	0%	0%	13%	29%	40%	47%			
Missing - All	- CIN/CP offered	number	22	19	15	20	22	23	22	13	9	10	14	15	56	65	44	39			
Missing - All	- CIN/CP accepted	number	12	14	12	13	17	17	21	11	9	9	8	13	38	47	41	30			
Missing - All	% of RI offered that were accepted	%	55%	74%	80%	65%	77%	74%	95%	85%	100%	90%	57%	87%	68%	72%	93%	77%			
Missing - All	- Support Services offered	number	4	3	1	6	0	5	4	3	5	1	1	2	8	11	12	4			
Missing - All	- Support Services accepted	number	4	3	1	5	0	5	4	3	5	0	1	2	8	10	12	3			
Missing - All	% of RI offered that were accepted	%	100%	100%	100%	83%		100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	91%	100%	75%			
Missing - All	- non Children's Social Care offered	number	2	10	5	9	10	8	5	12	5	4	4	1	17	27	22	9			
Missing - All	- non Children's Social care accepted	number	2	4	3	2	2		2	1		2	3		9	4	3	5			
Missing - All	% of RI offered that were accepted	%	100%	40%	60%	22%	20%	0%	40%	8%	0%	50%	75%	0%	53%	15%	14%	56%			
Missing - All	PULL: To be with Friends - % Yes responses (of all completed RIs)	% snapshot	72%	40%	42%	71%	44%	68%	42%	57%	60%	42%	36%	58%	42%	68%	60%	58%			
Missing - All	PULL: Previous incidents of Running Away - % Yes	% snapshot	70%	29%	40%	63%	35%	55%	62%	61%	48%	47%	44%	47%	40%	55%	48%	47%			

													N	IONTHS				QUA	RTERS	R	EXP. ANGES		COMP	ARATO	RS 20 <sup>2</sup>	19-20
		Population >	105690	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	2020- 21	2020- 21	10569 0	10569	10569	10569	10569 0
			2019- 20	2020- 21	19-20	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	Lower	Higher	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20			DfE 19-20										
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	exp.	exp.	Wilts	Eng	SW Reg		O/S LAs
Missing - All	PULL: Grooming for potential Sexual Exploitation or Child Trafficking - % Yes	% snapshot	13%	6%	13%	21%	18%	20%	27%	25%	28%	27%	12%	18%		13%	20%	28%	18%							
Missing - All	PULL: Substance Misuse - % Yes	% snapshot	39%	20%	31%	48%	26%	50%	31%	32%	28%	27%	24%	31%		31%	50%	28%	31%							
Missing - All	PULL: Grooming for Criminal Exploitation - % Yes	% snapshot	20%	6%	16%	31%	21%	28%	22%	34%	23%	24%	4%	24%		16%	28%	23%	24%							
Missing - All	PULL: Running back from Care Placements or to be Near Friends/Family - % Yes	% snapshot	59%	14%	27%	25%	12%	23%	22%	27%	23%	29%	20%	27%		27%	23%	23%	27%							
Missing - All	PULL: Criminal Activity - % Yes	% snapshot	22%	6%	16%	27%	12%	28%	18%	25%	13%	13%	0%	20%		16%	28%	13%	20%							
Missing - All	PUSH: Poor Relationship with Parents - % Yes	% snapshot	37%	29%	49%	58%	26%	43%	47%	52%	45%	38%	28%	31%		49%	43%	45%	31%							
Missing - All	PUSH: Struggling to Cope with Emotional Health - % Yes	% snapshot	59%	23%	51%	56%	35%	50%	69%	55%	43%	47%	32%	44%		51%	50%	43%	44%							
Missing - All	PUSH: Mental Health or Substance Misuse - % Yes	% snapshot	35%	20%	38%	38%	26%	50%	47%	43%	25%	18%	32%	33%		38%	50%	25%	33%							
Missing - All	PUSH: Parent Substance Misuse or Mental Health - % Yes	% snapshot	20%	9%	20%	25%	9%	20%	22%	20%	23%	13%	12%	7%		20%	20%	23%	7%							
Missing - All	PUSH: Family Break Up - % Yes	% snapshot	28%	9%	31%	35%	12%	28%	22%	27%	15%	13%	16%	11%		31%	28%	15%	11%							
Missing - All	PUSH: Abuse or Neglect - % Yes	% snapshot	7%	0%	13%	21%	0%	8%	7%	11%	13%	7%	0%	4%		13%	8%	13%	4%							
Missing - All	PUSH: Domestic Violence - % Yes	% snapshot	0%	0%	2%	4%	3%	10%	2%	11%	8%	9%	12%	0%		2%	10%	8%	0%							
Missing - All	PUSH: Teenage Pregnancy - % Yes	% snapshot	4%	0%	2%	2%	3%	5%	4%	0%	8%	4%	4%	0%		2%	5%	8%	0%							
Missing - All	PUSH: Bullying and Harassment - % Yes	% snapshot	2%	0%	7%	4%	6%	8%	9%	5%	5%	4%	4%	2%		7%	8%	5%	2%							
Missing - CLA	Number of Missing Episodes for CLA		21	20	22	33	37	38	29	49	43	35	22	38		63	108	121	95	90	120					
Missing - CLA	- Wilts CLA placed IN county		3	11	15	19	10	5	16	19	20	12	16	18		29	34	55	46	30	60					
Missing - CLA	- Wilts CLA placed OUT of county		13	5	5	6	11	17	2	5	9	6	2	16		23	34	16	24	15	45					
Missing - CLA	- OLA CLA placed in Wiltshire		5	4	2	8	16	16	11	25	14	17	4	4		11	40	50	25							
Missing - CLA	Count of CLA young people missing		14	13	14	17	19	16	16	17	19	17	13	15		41	52	52	45							
Missing - CLA	- Wilts CLA placed IN county		3	6	9	8	7	4	10	7	8	8	7	9		18	19	25	24							
Missing - CLA	- Wilts CLA placed OUT of county		7	4	3	5	7	6	2	3	5	5	2	4		14	18	10	11							
Missing - CLA	- OLA CLA placed in Wiltshire		4	3	2	4	5	6	4	7	6	4	4	2		9	15	17	10							
Missing - CLA	Count of CLA young people with more than 1 missing episode		4	5	4	8	7	8	5	11	9	8	4	11		13	23	25	23							
Missing - CLA	- Wilts CLA placed IN county		0	3	2	5	2	1	2	5	3	4	4	6		5	8	10	14							
Missing - CLA	- Wilts CLA placed OUT of county		3	1	2	1	2	4	0	1	2	1	0	3		6	7	3	4							
Missing - CLA	- OLA CLA placed in Wiltshire		1	1	0	2	3	3	3	5	4	3	0	2		2	8	12	5							
Missing - CLA	CLA Missing episodes as % of ALL Missing episodes		41%	33%	50%	45%	52%	50%	47%	62%	67%	69%	49%	62%		41%	49%	59%	61%	35%	45%					
The medianity	of the Missing data shows was presented for the first time in	. this fame - t	and in #-!-	اء مامريمي	-11 ! 41					- 11		-4 41 1	4 D 1 f							4	-	l l . /	\ <b>f</b> to = /b - t	ا حرم ما ما		

The majority of the Missing data above was presented for the first time in this format and in this much detail in the previous quarterly exception report. Following discussion at the last Board further detail was requested on missing episodes and return interviews for children Looked After (both in and out of county) and this has now been included. Expected ranges are to be added now teams and managers are more acquainted with the automated reports and the data that is being pulled back.

CLA No./RATE	No. CLA	snapshot	459	461	448	455	457	462	457	448	438	429	424	424	467	448	462	438	424	448	478		
CLA No./RATE	17. Rate CLA per 10,000	rate	43.4	43.6	42.4	42.9	43.1	43.6	43.1	42.2	41.3	40.4	40.0	40.0	44	42.4	43.6	41.3	40.4	42.4	45.3		
CLA STARTS	No. CLA starts	number	5	10	16	18	15	12	23	6	8	9	8	6	44	31	45	37	23				
CLA STARTS	18. Children who started to be looked after – rate per 10,000	rate	6	11	18	20	17	14	26	7	9	10	9	7	17	12	17	14	9	15	20		
CLA CEASE	No. CLA Ceased	number	12	10	16	18	8	14	23	21	17	14	9	8	36	38	40	61	31				
CLA CEASE	19. Children who ceased to be looked after – rate per 10,000	rate	14	11	18	20	9	16	26	24	19	16	10	9	14	14	15	23	12	17	22		

Exceptions:

												M	IONTHS				QUA	ARTERS	R	EXP. ANGES		COMP	ARATO	DRS 20	19-20
	Population>	105690	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	2020- 21	2020- 21	10569 0	10569 0	10569	10569	10569
		2019- 20	2020- 21	19-20	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	Lower	Higher		DfE 19-20			DfE 19-20										
Area	Indicator	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	exp. range	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Reg	SN	O/S LAs

### Reds of concern:

• Indicators 17, 18 & 19 (rate of children Looked After, numbers starting and ceasing to be Looked After to rise if the rate of CLA starts is within normal range. However, there has been a steady and slow decline in the number of children becoming Looked After since the peaks of Q1 and Q2. The result of this is that our rate of Children Looked After has continued to steadily fall since the end of Q2.

Reds on watching brief: None Ambers of concern: None Ambers on watching brief: None Other items to note: None

		_																		_			
IN-HOUSE FOST	% in LA provision	%	32%	33%	34%	33%	34%	35%	37%	36%	36%	38%	38%	37%	32%	34%	35%	36%	37%	35%	40%		
AGENCY FOST	% placed in agency foster care	%	31%	31%	31%	30%	30%	30%	29%	29%	29%	29%	28%	29%	30%	31%	30%	29%	29%	26%	28%		
FRIENDS/REL FOST	20. % fostered by relatives/friends	%	12%	12%	13%	15%	14%	14%	14%	14%	13%	14%	14%	13%	13%	13%	14%	13%	13%	9%	11%		
RESI	No. placed in residential care (homes, hostels, schools - K1/K2/R1/S1)	snapshot	46	46	44	43	44	43	40	42	44	41	41	41	52	44	43	44	41				
RESI	21. % placed in residential care (homes, hostels, schools)	%	10.0%	10.0%	9.8%	9.5%	9.6%	9.3%	8.8%	9.4%	10.0%	9.6%	9.7%	9.7%	11.1%	9.8%	9.3%	10.0%	10%	4%	7%		
RESI	No. in Unregulated placements - 16 or over	snapshot																					
RESI	No. in Unregistered placements - 16 or over	snapshot																					
RESI	No. in Unregulated placements - Under 16	snapshot																					
RESI	No. in Unregistered placements - Under 16	snapshot																					
>20 MILES	No. CLA >20 miles from home (excl UASC)	snapshot	152	156	147	147	152	154	153	153	146	144	146	150	151	147	154	146	150				
>20 MILES	% CLA placed >20 miles from home (excl UASC)	%	37%	37%	36%	35%	36%	37%	37%	38%	37%	37%	38%	39%	36%	36%	37%	37%	38%				
OUT OF COUNTY	No. CLA OUT of county (excl UASC)	snapshot	125	127	119	129	132	133	130	125	121	117	117	118	125	119	133	121	118				
OUT OF COUNTY	% CLA placed OUT of County (excl UASC)	%	30%	31%	29%	31%	32%	32%	31%	30%	29%	28%	28%	28%	29%	29%	32%	31%	30%				
OOC AND >20	No. CLA OUT of county AND >20 miles from home (excl UASC)	snapshot	99	101	93	95	98	100	98	99	94	90	90	90	98	93	100	94	90				
OOC AND >20	% CLA OUT of county AND >20 miles from home (excl UASC)	%	24%	24%	23%	23%	23%	24%	24%	25%	24%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	24%	24%	25%				
CLA 3+ MOVES	CLA with 3+ placements during the year	snapshot	44	36	33	33	36	36	34	33	35	34	30	29	44	33	36	35	29				
CLA 3+ MOVES	22. % CLA with 3+ placements during the year	%	10%	8%	7%	7%	8%	8%	7%	7%	8%	8%	7%	7%	9%	7.4%	8%	7%	7%	7%	10%		
NOT LONG TERM ARR.	% CLA in care 18months+ who are <u>not</u> in long term arrangements	%	-	-	34%	-	-	32%	-	-	35%			35%	33%	34%	32%	35%	35%	30%	35%		
LONG TERM ARR	No. of CLA aged under 18 in care for 2.5 years or more	snapshot	157	151	147	144	151	153	144	152	153	153	155	152	154	147	153	153	152				
LONG TERM ARR	No. aged under 18 in same placement for 2 years or more	snapshot	119	118	116	111	118	119	113	118	117	120	122	119	119	116	119	117	119				
2YR STABILITY	23. % CLA 2yr stability	%	76%	78%	79%	77%	78%	78%	78%	78%	76%	78%	79%	78%	77%	79%	78%	76%	78%	75%	82%		
	·																						

## **Exceptions:**

Reds of concern: None Reds on watching brief:

• Indicator 21 (% in residential care) – although it is positive that the number in residential placements has not increased and has held steady we are still above our expected range of 4-7%. We currently have 33 children in residential homes, 4 of which were new placements in Q4. 3 of these young people had no previous care experience and one young person had been previously CLA up to May last year; another is in a secure children's home due to the complexity of their needs. In addition to the 4, 2 other children moved to new residential placements during this Q4 period as the previous residential homes gave notice due to their presenting behaviours. The complexity of needs and trauma experienced by this cohort of children are evidencing their needs cannot be met in our foster homes. Ongoing work is taking place within the Residential Move On project to consider all the needs of children in residential homes with a view to identify suitable foster carers or reunification where appropriate.

Ambers of concern: None
Ambers on watching brief: None

## Other items to note:

• The proportion of children fostered by friends or relatives remains strong at 13% (above our expected range of 9-11%). Similarly, our placement stability and placement change frequency performance also remains strong and stable, although, of course, there is always room for further improvement.

													N	ONTHS				QUA	RTERS	R	EXP. Anges		COM	PARAT	ORS 2019	9-20
		Population>	105690	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	2020- 21	2020- 21	10569 0	10569 0	10569		0569 0
			2019- 20	2020- 21	19-20	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	Lower	Higher	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20		DfE 9-20										
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	exp. range	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Reg		O/S LAs
PATHWAY PLAN	Should have Pathway/Care Plan (qtrly)	number	-	-	102	-	-	102	-	-	94	-	-	89	0	102	102	94	89							
PATHWAY PLAN	Have a Pathway/Care Plan (qtrly)	number	-	-	101	-	-	93	-	-	88	-	-	82	0	101	93	88	82			_	<u> </u>			
PATHWAY PLAN	24. % pathway/care plans in place for 16-18 year olds (qtrly)	%		-	99%	-	-	91%	-	-	94%	-	-	92%	94%	99%	91%	94%	92%	95%	100%					
CLA STAT VISITS	25. % In-Time CLA stat visits (all teams)	%	-	-	95%	-	-	96%	•	-	96%	-	-	tbc	90%	95%	96%	96%		90%	95%					
CLA REVIEWS/PARTIC.	26. % CLA reviews on time	% snapshot	99%	99%	100%	99%	99%	100%	96%	98%	97%	97%	96%	94%	88%	100%	100%	97%	94%	93%	98%					
CLA REVIEWS/PARTIC.	27. % CLA participating in reviews	% snapshot	94%	96%	96%	97%	97%	96%	96%	96%	95%	94%	95%	95%	89%	96%	96%	95%	95%	95%	98%					
CLA HEALTH	CLA in care 12 months or more (req health check)	snapshot	337	343	342	339	348	351	344	336	332	328	325	331	337	342	351	332	331							
CLA HEALTH	No. CLA had annual health check	snapshot	246	257	252	276	277	289	274	258	243	209	209	219	256	252	289	243	219							
CLA HEALTH	28. % CLA had annual health check	% snapshot	73%	75%	74%	81%	80%	82%	80%	77%	73%	64%	64%	66%	76%	74%	82%	73%	66%	100%						
CLA HEALTH	No. CLA had annual dental check	snapshot	149	164	157	173	172	171	135	114	91	79	67	65	146	157	171	91	65							
CLA HEALTH	29. % CLA had annual dental check in last year	% snapshot	44%	48%	46%	51%	49%	49%	39%	34%	27%	24%	21%	20%	43%	46%	49%	27%	20%	95%						
CLA HEALTH	No. CLA due an IHA within 28 days (A)	Number	5	9	15	14	14	10	21	4	7	9	8	tbc		29	38	32	tbc							
CLA HEALTH	No. IHAs not yet recorded	Number	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	tbc		0	0	0	tbc					!		
CLA HEALTH	No. CLA due an IHA minus those not yet recorded (B)	Number	5	9	15	14	14	10	21	4	7	8	7	tbc		29	38	32	tbc					!		
CLA HEALTH	No. CLA had an IHA excl those not yet recorded (C)	Number	5	8	14	9	12	6	15	2	4	6	7	tbc		27	27	21	tbc							
CLA HEALTH	% CLA had an IHA excl those not yet recorded (C/B)	Number	100%	89%	93%	64%	86%	60%	71%	50%	57%	75%	100%	tbc		93%	71%	66%	tbc							
CLA HEALTH	30. % CLA had an IHA incl those not yet recorded (C/A)	%	100%	89%	93%	64%	86%	60%	71%	50%	57%	67%	88%	tbc		93%	71%	66%	tbc	80%				!		
CLA HEALTH	CLA SDQ % cohort completed (rolling 12 month)	%	-	-	53%	-	-	77%	-	-	86%	-	-	94%	66%	53%	77%	86%	94%							
CLA HEALTH	CLA average SDQ score (rolling 12 month)	snapshot	e n - d	-	14	-	-	15	-	-	15	-	-	15	16	14	15	15	15							
CLA HEALTH	% CLA with a score >= 17 (rolling 12 month)	snapshot	-	-	38%	-	-	42%	-	-	47%	-	-	44%		38%	42%	47%	44%							

## Reds of concern:

• Indicators 28, 29 and 30 (medical and dental checks) – note that the annual reconciliation of medical/dental data is currently taking place as part of the DfE Statutory returns for Children Looked After. As with previous years, this Is expected to uplift the performance figures considerably and the results of this exercise will be shared within the next quarterly exception report.

# Reds on watching brief: None

# Ambers of concern:

• Indicator 24 (% with Pathway/Care Plans) – performance has slipped slightly into Q4 and remains below expected range.

# Ambers on watching brief: None

# Other items to note:

• CLA statutory visits remain a strength for us as, as with CP visits, are regularly reported directly to the DfE as part of covid monitoring.

• CLA Review timeliness and participation continue to perform well and within expected range.

ADOPTION	31. No. of children adopted	number	2	0	0	3	3	4	2	5	2	0	0	2	4	2	10	9	2	27	32		
ADOPTION	32. % those leaving care who were adopted	%	17%	0%	0%	17%	38%	29%	9%	24%	12%	0%	0%	25%	11%	5%	25%	15%	6%	15%	20%		
ADOPTION	33. % adopted who were placed within 12 months of SHBA	% snapshot	76%	78%	80%	77%	77%	80%	80%	86%	91%	92%	92%	86%	78%	80%	80%	91%	86%	85%	95%		
ADOPTION	Av. Time: child enter care<>moving in with adoptive family (days) A1 (YTD)	snapshot	316	316	316	451	407	423	434	436	426	426	426	420	369	316	423	426	420				
ADOPTION	34. Av. Time: child enter care<>moving in with adoptive family (days) A1 (3YrAv)	snapshot	345	352	352	362	360	366	370	375	374	374	374	373	339	352	366	374	373	300	380		
ADOPTION	Av. Time: A1 measure adjusted for foster carer adoptions NEW A10 (YTD)	'												420									

													N	ONTHS	ı			QUA	ARTERS	R	EXP. ANGES		COMP	ARATO	RS 20	19-20
		Population >	105690	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	2020- 21	2020- 21	10569 0	10569	10569	10569 0	10569 0
			2019- 20	2020- 21	19-20	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	Lower	Higher	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20										
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	exp. range	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Reg	SN	O/S LAs
ADOPTION	Av. Time: A1 measure adjusted for foster carer adoptions NEW A10 (3YrAv)													354					354							
ADOPTION	Av. Time: LA has court order to place <> deciding match A2 (YTD)	snapshot	133	133	133	126	135	156	166	166	164	164	164	157	142	133	156	164	157							
ADOPTION	35. Av. Time: LA has court order to place <> deciding match A2 (3YrAv)	snapshot	112	117	117	117	119	123	126	129	130	130	130	128	116	117	123	130	128	150	170					
ADOPTION	% cyp wait <14 mnths: enter care <> moving in w/ family A3 (YTD)	%	59%	60%	62%	62%	63%	59%	63%	56%	59%	60%	60%	62%	64%	62%	59%	59%	62%							
ADOPTION	36. % cyp wait <14 mnths: enter care <> moving in w/ family A3 (3YrAv)	%	70%	70%	71%	71%	71%	70%	72%	68%	69%	70%	68%	71%	72%	71%	70%	69%	71%	75%	80%					
ADOPTION RECRUITMENT	No. of newly approved adopters - Wiltshire only (qtrly)	number	-	-	5	-	-	7	-	-		-	-		0	5	7	0								
ADOPTION RECRUITMENT	Adopters approved within 0-3 months of application	number	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-		-	-		0	0	0	0								
ADOPTION RECRUITMENT	Adopters approved within 3-6 months of application	number	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-		-	-		0	0	0	0								
ADOPTION RECRUITMENT	Adopters approved within 6-12 months of application	number	-	-	3	-	-	7	-	-		-	-		0	3	7	0								
ADOPTION RECRUITMENT	Adopters approved more than 12 months of application	number	-	-	2	-	-	0	-	-		-	-		0	2	0	0								
ADOPTION RECRUITMENT	No. of newly approved adopters - ALL Adoption West (qtrly)	number	-	-	15	-	-	27	-	-		-	-			15	27	0								
ADOPTION RECRUITMENT	Adopters approved within 0-3 months of application	number	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-		-	-			0	0	0								
ADOPTION RECRUITMENT	Adopters approved within 3-6 months of application	number	-	-	1	-	-	0	-	-		-	-			1	0	0								
ADOPTION RECRUITMENT	Adopters approved within 6-12 months of application	number	-	-	8	-	-	15	-	-		-	-			8	15	0								
ADOPTION RECRUITMENT	Adopters approved more than 12 months of application	number	-	-	6	-	-	12	-	-		-	-			6	12	0								
Exceptions	•														_											

## Reds of concern:

• Indicators 31 and 32 (number and % adopted) – Adoptions dropped during Q4 (only 2 children adopted) meaning our expected range of between 27-32 adoptions within year was not achieved (23 children were adopted within year). We are undertaking work to look at permanence outcomes There remain a number of ongoing court cases (16) over 26 weeks, currently between 26 and 97 weeks. As always, there is no one reason for delay in bringing cases to conclusion. More often than not, there are several different reasons for delay in the same case. We will also be doing some analysis around Special Guardianship Orders at outcome of proceedings.

Reds on watching brief: None Ambers of concern: None Ambers on watching brief: None

# Other items to note:

Adoption timeliness holds steady with performance within expected ranges or better.

CARE LEAVERS 17-18 - Ofsted	TOTAL CARE LEAVERS 17-18 - Ofsted	number			79	83	83	84	84	79	77	72	74	77	79	84	77	77				
In-Touch 17-18 - Ofsted	Ofsted - 17-18 - Number In-touch	snapshot	-	-	70	71	68	70	71	72	63	63	67	69	70	70	63	69				
In-Touch 17-18 - Ofsted	37. Ofsted - 17-18 - % In-touch	% snapshot	-	-	89%	86%	82%	83%	85%	91%	82%	88%	91%	90%	89%	83%	82%	90%	95%	100%		
In-Touch 17-18 - Ofsted	38. Ofsted - 17-18 - Number NOT In-touch	number	-	-	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0		
In-Touch 17-18 - Ofsted	Ofsted - 17-18 - Number No Info entered	number	-	-	9	11	14	12	13	6	13	9	7	8	9	12	13	8				
Accomm 17-18 - Ofsted	Ofsted - 17-18 - Number in Suitable Accommodation	snapshot	-	-	65	66	61	65	66	69	61	59	66	70	65	65	61	70				
Accomm 17-18 - Ofsted	39. Ofsted - 17-18 - % in Suitable Accommodation	% snapshot	-	-	82%	80%	73%	77%	79%	87%	79%	82%	89%	91%	82%	77%	79%	91%	85%	90%		
EET 17-18 - Ofsted	Ofsted - 17-18 - Number in EET	snapshot	-	-	48	50	46	48	46	53	43	39	43	47	48	48	43	47				
EET 17-18 - Ofsted	40. Ofsted - 17-18 - % in EET	% snapshot	-	-	61%	60%	55%	57%	55%	67%	56%	54%	58%	61%	61%	57%	56%	61%	60%	70%		

													N	IONTHS				QUA	RTERS	R	EXP. ANGES		COMP	ARATO	DRS 201	19-20
		Population >	105690	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	105690	105690	106064	106064	106064	2020- 21	2020- 21	10569 0	10569 0	10569 0	10569	10569 0
			2019- 20	2020- 21	19-20	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	Lower	Higher	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20	DfE 19-20		DfE 19-20										
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	exp. range	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Reg		O/S LAs
CARE LEAVERS 19-21 - Ofsted	TOTAL CARE LEAVERS 19-21 - Ofsted	snapshot	149	157	163	163	162	160	160	166	166	170	174	174	151	163	160	166	174							
In-Touch 19-21 - Ofsted	Ofsted - 19-21 - Number In-touch	snapshot	-	-	156	157	158	157	157	165	166	169	172	172		156	157	166	172							
In-Touch 19-21 - Ofsted	41. Ofsted - 19-21 - % In-touch	% snapshot	<u> </u>	-	96%	96%	98%	98%	98%	99%	100%	99%	99%	99%		96%	98%	100%	99%	95%	100%					
In-Touch 19-21 - Ofsted	42. Ofsted - 19-21 - Number NOT In-touch	number	-	-	7	6	4	3	3	1	0	1	0	0		7	3	0	0	0	0					
In-Touch 19-21 - Ofsted	Ofsted - 19-21 - Number No Info entered	number	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2		0	0	0	2							
Accomm 19-21 - Ofsted	Ofsted - 19-21 - Number in Suitable Accommodation	snapshot	-	-	149	152	151	150	152	159	160	163	166	164		149	150	160	164							
Accomm 19-21 - Ofsted	43. Ofsted - 19-21 - % in Suitable Accommodation	% snapshot		-	91%	93%	93%	94%	95%	96%	96%	96%	95%	94%		91%	94%	96%	94%	85%	90%					
EET 19-21 - Ofsted	Ofsted - 19-21 - Number in EET	snapshot	-	-	93	92	99	93	95	97	98	94	95	96		93	93	98	96							
EET 19-21 - Ofsted	44. Ofsted - 19-21 - % in EET	% snapshot		-	57%	56%	61%	58%	59%	58%	59%	55%	55%	55%		57%	58%	59%	55%	50%	60%					
CARE LEAVERS 17-18 (DfE 4mnth window)	TOTAL CARE LEAVERS 17-18 - DfE 4 month window	snapshot	88	85	80	82	79	82	79	75	80	71	74	74	82	80	82	80	74							
Accomm 17-18 - DfE 4 month window	DfE 4 month window - 17-18 - Number in Suitable Accommodation	snapshot	58	57	55	57	54	62	65	61	63	57	57	62	47	55	62	63	62							
Accomm 17-18 - DfE 4 month window	45. DfE 4 month window - 17-18 - % in Suitable Accommodation	% snapshot	66%	67%	69%	70%	68%	76%	82%	81%	79%	80%	77%	84%	57%	69%	76%	79%	84%	85%	90%					
EET 17-18 - DfE 4 month window	DfE 4 month window - 17-18 - Number in EET	snapshot	49	47	46	47	46	52	58	52	55	46	47	51	39	46	52	55	51							
EET 17-18 - DfE 4 month window	46. DfE 4 month window - 17-18 - % in EET	% snapshot	56%	55%	58%	57%	58%	63%	73%	69%	69%	65%	64%	69%	48%	58%	63%	69%	69%	60%	70%					
CARE LEAVERS 19-21 (DfE 4mnth window)	TOTAL CARE LEAVERS 19-21 - DfE 4 month window	snapshot	149	157	160	182	181	182	189	194	188	196	199	199	151	160	182	188	199							
Accomm 19-21 - DfE 4 month window	DfE 4 month window - 19-21 - Number in Suitable Accommodation	snapshot	109	120	127	135	137	143	155	160	159	169	172	172	108	127	143	159	172							
Accomm 19-21 - DfE 4 month window	47. DfE 4 month window - 19-21 - % in Suitable Accommodation	% snapshot	73%	76%	79%	74%	76%	79%	82%	82%	85%	86%	86%	86%	72%	79%	79%	85%	86%	85%	90%					
EET 19-21 - DfE 4 month window	DfE 4 month window - 19-21 - Number in EET	snapshot	76	82	85	90	92	96	106	109	105	112	113	112	80	85	96	105	112							
EET 19-21 - DfE 4 month window	48. DfE 4 month window - 19-21 - % in EET	% snapshot	51%	52%	53%	49%	51%	53%	56%	56%	56%	57%	57%	56%	53%	53%	53%	56%	56%	50%	60%					

Reds of concern: None Reds on watching brief: None Ambers of concern: None Ambers on watching brief:

- Indicator 37 (In-touch with Care Experienced 17-18 year olds) performance is improving and nearing expected range and there were zero 'not in-touch' young people during Q3 and Q4. To monitor to ensure progress continues.
   Indicator 45 (DfE 17-18 yr olds in suitable accommodation) performance has improved quarter on quarter for over 12 months which is very positive. With a further push performance will be comfortably within expected ranges.

# Other items to note:

Overall, performance for our Care Experienced young people is good with almost all key indicators meeting expected ranges – or getting close to them on an improving trajectory.